٠. ٢

Interview Summary		Application No. 09/111,915		Appacana(a)  Boggs et al		
	Examiner	Richard W. W	/ard	Group Art Unit 1723		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel)	:				
(1) Richard W. Ward						
(2) Andrew Kolomavets	(4)					
Date of Interview Aug 28 and 30, 2000						
Type: ATelephonic Personal (copy is given to	applicant	applicar	nt's represe	ntative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:	1986). If yes	brief descrip	otion: 			
Agreement _was reached. Ras not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: 44	!					
Identification of prior art discussed:	•					
None						
Examiner requested a further explanation (e.g., basis in amended claim 44. Applicant indicated that basis may be that such a limitation had not been previously considere application was currently under final rejection.	d, and there	nade lo di d	ie ilistain e	DDITOUTION TO		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amount the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	copy of the				wuld render ms allowable	
1. A It is not necessary for applicant to provide a set Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indic OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUIT 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has alre INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE S	ate to the or DE THE SUB ady been fill SUBSTANCE	ontrary, A FO BSTANCE OF ed, APPLICA OF THE INT	RMAL WRI THE INTE NT IS GIVE ERVIEW.	TTEN RESPON RVIEW. (See N EN ONE MONTH	FROM THIS	
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above each of the objections, rejections and requiren claims are now allowable, this completed form Office action. Applicant is not relieved from pris is also checked.	e (including nents that m	any attachmo	ents) reflect it in the last	requirements of	the last	
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an	attachment to	a signed Office	action.		<u> </u>	
Company of the control of the contro					4.4	